A Narrow Band-Based Multiscale Multigroup Full-Spectrum k-Distribution Method for Radiative Transfer in Nonhomogeneous Gas-Soot Mixtures

1 Introduction

Radiative heat transfer is a very important mode of heat transfer in high temperature combustion systems and atmospheric processes. Radiation calculations in participating media can be most accurately performed by the line-by-line (LBL) approach. However, LBL calculations require huge computer resources (both computational time and memory). For accurate and computationally efficient solutions of the radiative transfer equation (RTE), several models have been proposed, applying the concept of reordering the absorption coefficient across the entire spectrum. These include the spectral-line-based weighted-sum-of-gray-gases (SLW) model [1], the absorption distribution function (ADF) method [2], and the full-spectrum k-distribution (FSK) method [3]. Although the FSK scheme is exact for radiative calculations in homogeneous media, its application to strongly inhomogeneous emitting-absorbing mixtures, containing both molecular gases and nongray soot particles, challenges its accuracy.

Several advancements to the k-distribution method have been proposed to address the shortcomings of the basic FSK scheme. The advanced k-distribution methods with their advantages and shortcomings are summarized as follows.

- Single scale FSK. 8–10 RTEs. Advantages: Most CPU efficient; accurate for moderately inhomogeneous media. Disadvantages: Inaccurate for strongly inhomogeneous media; problems with mixing of species [3].
- Narrow band-based single-scale FSK. 8–10 RTEs. Advantages: Most CPU efficient; mixing of mult-phase species; accurate for moderately inhomogeneous media. Disadvantages: Inaccurate for strongly inhomogeneous media [4].
- Narrow band-based MSFSK. N×(8–10) RTEs. Advantages: Better accuracy for mixing of gases than MSFSK; potential for multiphase mixing. Disadvantages: Inaccurate for strong temperature inhomogeneity [7].
- Narrow band-based modified MSFSK. N×(8–10) RTEs. Advantages: Accurate for multiphase mixing and species inhomogeneity.

The full-spectrum k-distribution (FSK) approach has become a promising method for radiative heat transfer calculations in strongly nongray participating media, due to its ability to achieve high accuracy at a tiny fraction of the line-by-line (LBL) computational cost. However, inhomogeneities in temperature, total pressure, and component mole fractions severely challenge the accuracy of the FSK approach. The objective of this paper is to develop a narrow band-based hybrid FSK model that is accurate for radiation calculations in combustion systems containing both molecular gases and nongray particles such as soot with strong temperature and mole fraction inhomogeneities. This method combines the advantages of the multigroup FSK method for temperature inhomogeneities in a single species, and the modified multiscale FSK method for concentration inhomogeneities in gas-soot mixtures. In this new method, each species is considered as one scale; the absorption coefficients within each narrow band of every gas scale are divided into M exclusive spectral groups, depending on their temperature dependence. Accurate and compact narrow band multigroup databases are constructed for combustion gases such as CO₂ and H₂O. Sample calculations are performed for a 1D medium and also for a 2D axisymmetric combustion flame. The narrow band-based hybrid method is observed to accurately predict heat transfer from extremely inhomogeneous gas-soot mixtures with/without wall emission, yielding close-to-LBL accuracy. [DOI: 10.1115/1.4000236]
homogeneity. Disadvantages: Inaccurate for strong temperature inhomogeneity [9].

Soot radiation constitutes an important part of radiation calculations in luminous flames. Because of the difficulties in soot modeling, soot radiation in combustion flames was commonly treated as gray [10]. Nongray soot with gas mixtures was investigated by Solovjov and Webb [11] using the SLW method, by Wang et al. [12] using the single-scale FSK method, and by Pal and Modest [9] using the narrow band-based modified MSFSK method. The modified MSFSK method has been found to produce high accuracy for isothermal multigroup mixtures with species concentration inhomogeneities. However, the modified MSFSK method fails in the presence of strong temperature inhomogeneities.

FSK calculations are very accurate and time efficient, provided that the required full-spectrum k-distributions are known, which are tedious to compile from spectroscopic databases. Wang and Modest [13] compiled a high accuracy, compact database of narrow band k-distributions for CO₂ and H₂O. Full-spectrum multigroup databases (with 32 groups for each species) were constructed by Zhang and Modest [6] for carbon dioxide and water vapor. It has been reported that close-to-LBL accuracy can be achieved by considering only four such groups. Recently, Pal and Modest [9] constructed a more accurate and compact full-spectrum multigroup database containing four groups for each species with spectral absorption coefficients for water vapor calculated from HITEMP 2000, and for carbon dioxide from CDSD-1000, which is considered more reliable [8]. The full-spectrum multigroup databases can be used for nonhomogeneous mixtures of gases only. Hence, narrow band multigroup databases are needed for the important combustion gases for accurate mixing of gases and nongray soot at the narrow band level.

In the present work, we have extended the previous (full-spectrum-based) hybrid MSMFSK method to a narrow band-based hybrid MSMFSK method, to allow incorporation of nongray soot into the gas mixture. In this method, soot is treated as a single-group scale, while the combustion gases, such as CO₂ and H₂O, can have a maximum of four scalable groups each. An accurate and compact narrow band multigroup database has been constructed by grouping the absorption coefficients within each narrow band of CO₂ and H₂O into four groups, with absorption coefficients of H₂O calculated from HITEMP 2000, and for CO₂ from CDSD-1000. Wall emission is treated within the soot scale as was done in the modified MSFSK method [9]. First, a brief mathematical discussion of the narrow band-based MSMFSK method is presented here, followed by a discussion of the construction of the narrow band multigroup database. Sample calculations are performed for a 1D medium with step changes in species concentration and temperature with/without wall emission, and also for a 2D axisymmetric jet flame. For all cases, results are compared with FSK, MSFSK, and LBL calculations.

2 The Narrow Band-Based MSMFSK Approach

A brief mathematical derivation of the narrow band-based MSMFSK method is presented here. A participating medium containing molecular gases and nongray soot is considered. Scattering from the medium is assumed to be gray. The radiative transfer equation (RTE) for such a medium can be written as [14]

\[
\frac{dI_n}{ds} = (\kappa_n \phi + \alpha_n \sigma_T) I_n + \frac{\alpha_n}{4\pi} \int_4 4\pi d\Omega' \Phi(\hat{s}, \hat{s}') I_n(\hat{s}') \tag{1}
\]

which is subject to the boundary condition at \( s = 0 \):

\[
I_\eta = \epsilon I_{b,\eta} + \frac{1 - \epsilon}{\pi} \int_2 2\pi I_\eta(\hat{n}) \delta(\hat{n} \cdot \hat{s}) d\Omega \tag{2}
\]

Here, \( I_\eta \) is the spectral radiative intensity, \( \kappa_n \) is the absorption coefficient, \( I_{b,\eta} \) is the spectral blackbody intensity (or Planck function), \( \alpha_n \) is the gray scattering coefficient, \( \phi \) is the scattering phase function, and wavenumber \( \eta \) is the spectral variable. The vector \( \phi \) contains state variables that affect \( \kappa_n \), which include temperature \( T \), total pressure \( P \), and gas mole fractions \( x \). \( \phi = (P, T, x) \). The boundary wall has been assumed to be gray and diffuse with \( \epsilon \) being the emittance, \( \hat{n} \) being the surface normal, \( \hat{s} \) being the unit direction vector of incoming ray radiation, and \( \Omega \) being the solid angle.

The mixture’s spectral absorption coefficient \( \kappa_\eta \) is first separated into contributions from \( N - 1 \) component gases and soot, and the radiative intensity \( I_\eta \) is also broken up accordingly

\[
\kappa_\eta = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \kappa_{\eta n} I_\eta = \sum_{n=1}^{N} I_{\eta n} \tag{3}
\]

The RTE in Eq. (1) is transformed into \( N \) component RTEs, one for each species or scale. For each scale, this leads to

\[
\frac{dI_{\eta n}}{ds} = \kappa_{\eta n}(\phi) I_{\eta n} - (\kappa_{\eta n}(\phi) + \alpha_n) I_{\eta} + \frac{\alpha_n}{4\pi} \int_4 4\pi d\Omega' \Phi(\hat{s}, \hat{s}') I_{\eta n}(\hat{s}') \tag{4}
\]

The intensity \( I_{\eta n} \) is due to the emission by the \( n \)-th scale, subject to absorption by all the scales. Now the spectral locations of the \( n \)-th gas absorption coefficients \( \kappa_{\eta n} \), along with the \( n \)-th gas scale’s radiative intensity \( I_{\eta n} \), are sorted into \( M \) exclusive groups, that is

\[
\kappa_{\eta n} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \kappa_{\eta n m} I_{\eta n} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} I_{\eta n m} \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \tag{5}
\]

Considering the soot scale as a single-group scale, the RTE for the \( m \)-th group of the \( n \)-th gas scale is transformed into

\[
\frac{dI_{\eta n m}}{ds} = \kappa_{\eta n m}(\phi) I_{\eta n m} - (\kappa_{\eta n m}(\phi) + \alpha_n) I_{\eta n} + \frac{\alpha_n}{4\pi} \int_4 4\pi d\Omega' \Phi(\hat{s}, \hat{s}') I_{\eta n m}(\hat{s}') \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, \ldots, N - 1; \quad m = 1, \ldots, M_n \text{(gas scales)} \tag{6}
\]

Note that the intensity \( I_{\eta n m} \) is due to the emission by the \( m \)-th group of the \( n \)-th gas species (the \( m \)-th group), but subject to absorption by all groups of the other gases, soot (single-group scale), and its own group. There is no overlap among groups of a single species and, therefore, there is no emission over wavenumbers where \( \kappa_{\eta n m}(q \neq m) \) absorbs. Thus, in Eq. (6)

\[
\kappa_{\eta n} = \kappa_{\eta n m} + \sum_{l=1}^{M} \sum_{q=1}^{N} \kappa_{\eta n q} \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \tag{7}
\]

As was done in the modified MSFSK formulations [9], radiation from soot and from wall emission are combined into a single scale, due to their continuous nature. When wall emissions is added to the soot scale, Eq. (2) can be written as
where the subscript \( s \) denotes the soot scale.

We now apply the FSK scheme [15] to each RTE. This process is demonstrated for the RTEs of each group of the gas scales. For the soot scale, i.e., the \( N \)-th scale \((n=s)\), the same procedure needs to be followed for a scale with a single group, \( M_s = 1 \). First, Eq. (6) is multiplied by Dirac’s delta function \( \delta(k_{mn} - \kappa_{mn}(\phi_0)) \), followed by division with

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dI_{nm}}{ds} &= \kappa_{mn}a_n I_b - \lambda_{mn}a_n I_{nm} + \frac{\sigma_n}{4\pi} \int_{4\pi} I_{m'nm}(\hat{s'})\Phi(\hat{s},\hat{s'})d\Omega' \\
& \quad \text{for } n = 1, \ldots, N - 1; \quad m = 1, \ldots, M_n \\
& \quad \text{for } n = s; \quad m = 1 (nm = s)
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
I_{nm} = \int_0^\infty I_{nm}(T, \phi, k_0, k_m) d\eta | f_{nm}(T, \phi_0, k_m)
\]

The cumulative \( k \)-distribution \( g \) is the nondimensional spectral variable of the reordered spectrum, and for the \( m \)-th group of the \( n \)-th scale [8]

\[
g_{nm} = \int_0^{I_{nm}} f_{nm}(T, \phi_0, k) dk
\]

\( a_m \) is the stretching factor for the \( m \)-th group of the \( n \)-th scale [8], and is calculated from

\[
a_m = \frac{f_{nm}(T, \phi_0, k_m)}{f_{nm}(T, \phi_0, k_m)}
\]

and, finally, \( \lambda_{nm} \) is the overlap parameter of the \( m \)-th group of the \( n \)-th scale [8] with all other scales, and can be written as

\[
\lambda_{nm} = \kappa_{mn}I_{nm} + \frac{\int_T \left( \sum_{m'=1}^{M_n} \kappa_{m'n}(\phi_0) I_{nm}(T, \phi, k_m) \right) \delta(k_{mn} - \kappa_{mn}(\phi_0)) d\eta | f_{nm}(T, \phi_0, k_m)}{f_{nm}(T, \phi_0, k_m)}
\]

Similarly, FSK reordering is performed on the boundary condition(s) with respect to \( \kappa_{mn}(\phi_0) \) for each group of the gas scales, and \( \kappa_{s}(\phi_0) \) for the soot scale, which results in

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{nm} &= \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\pi} \int_{2\pi} I_{nm}(T, \phi, k) d\Omega \\
& \quad \text{for } n = 1, \ldots, N - 1; \quad m = 1, \ldots, M_n \\
I_{s} &= \varepsilon a_s I_{b,s} + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\pi} \int_{2\pi} I_{s}(T, \phi, k) d\Omega \\
& \quad \text{for } n = s = N \text{ (soot scale)}
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

where \( a_s \) is the stretching factor for wall emission defined as

\[
a_s = \frac{f_s(T_w, \phi_0, k_s)}{f_s(T_0, \phi_0, k_s)}
\]

\( T_w \) is the wall temperature, which may be different from the medium temperature \( T \).

Finally, the total radiative intensity is found by integrating each group over spectral space \( g \), followed by summing over all groups and scales as

\[
I = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^{M_n} I_{nm} = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^{M_n} \int_{0}^{1} f_{nm} d\eta
\]

(17)

The second term on the right hand side of Eq. (10) is due to the overlap of the absorption coefficient of the \( m \)-th group of the \( n \)-th scale \( \kappa_{mn} \) with those of all other scales, which occurs over a part of the spectrum. The overlap parameter is a function of the state variables, as well as of the \( k \)-distribution. Here we follow the approximate approach for overlap parameter calculations, as was done in the modified MSFSK method for gas-soot mixtures, assuming that the intensity emanating from a homogeneous nonscattering layer bounded by black walls is predicted exactly [9].

In Eq. (10), the reordering is performed in terms of absorption coefficients \( \kappa_{mn} \) and the interaction between \( \kappa_{mn} \) and \( \kappa_s \) during the reordering process is lumped into the overlap parameter \( \lambda_{nm} \). The reordering can also be performed in terms of \( \kappa_s \), which, for a nonscattering homogeneous layer at temperature \( T \), and bounded by a black wall at temperature \( T_w \), leads to

\[
\frac{dI_{nm}}{ds} = \frac{k_{mn}l_b}{f(T_0, \phi, k)} - kI_{nm} \int_{0}^{\infty} I_{nm}(T, \phi, k) \delta(k - \kappa_s(\phi)) d\eta
\]

(19)

where

\[
f(T, \phi, k) = \frac{1}{l_b(T)} \int_{0}^{\infty} l_b(T) \delta(k - \kappa_s(\phi)) d\eta
\]

(20)

\[
l'_{nm} = \int_0^\infty I_{nm}(T, \phi, k) d\eta f(T, \phi, k)
\]

(21)

Reordering the boundary condition(s) with respect to \( \kappa_s(\phi) \) leads to
at $s=0$:

$$I_{nm} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{2\pi} \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s} \, d\Omega \quad \text{for } n = 1, \ldots, N-1; \quad m = 1, \ldots, M_n$$

$$I_{nm}^* = \frac{f(T, \phi, k)}{f(T, \phi, k)} I_{bw}^* + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{2\pi} \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s} \, d\Omega \quad \text{for } n = s = N$$

(22)

The solutions to Eqs. (10), (15), (18), and (22) for a homogeneous layer at temperature $T$ bounded by black walls can be obtained analytically, and the total exiting intensities from each group of the gas scales from a layer of thickness $L$ are

$$I_{nm} = \int_0^1 I_{nm}^* \, dg$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{k_{nm}}{\lambda_{nm}} I_{bw}^* \left[ 1 - \exp(-\lambda_{nm} L) \right] f_n(T, \phi, k_{nm}) \, dk_{nm}$$

for $n = 1, \ldots, N-1; \quad m = 1, \ldots, M_n$ (23)

and

$$I_{nm}^* = \int_0^1 I_{nm}^* \, dg = \int_0^\infty \frac{k_{nm}^*}{k} I_{bw}^* \left[ 1 - \exp(-k L) \right] \, dk,$$

for $n = 1, \ldots, N-1; \quad m = 1, \ldots, M_g$ (24)

respectively. Since wall emission is added to the soot scale, the total exiting intensity from the soot scale from a layer of thickness $L$ is

$$I_s = \int_0^1 I_{s,bw}^* \, dg = \int_0^\infty a_s I_{bw}^* \exp(-\lambda_1 L) f_1(T, \phi, k) \, dk,$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty \frac{k}{k_s} I_{bw}^* \left[ 1 - \exp(-k L) \right] \, dk = I_{s1} + I_{s2}$$ (25)

where $I_{s1}$ is shorthand for the first term (wall emission), and $I_{s2}$ for the second term (medium emission), and

$$I_{s}^* = \int_0^1 I_{s,bw}^* \, dg = \int_0^\infty I_{nm,bw}(T, \phi, k) \exp(-k L) \, dk$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty \frac{k_{nm}^*}{k} I_{bw}^* \left[ 1 - \exp(-k L) \right] \, dk = I_{s1}^* + I_{s2}^*$$ (26)

where, again, $I_{s1}^*$ (wall emission) and $I_{s2}^*$ (medium emission) abbreviates the first and second term, respectively.

The spectrally integrated intensity $I_{nm}$ should be equal to $I_{nm}^*$ for each group of the gas scales), and $I_{s1}$ should be equal to $I_{s1}^*$ for the soot scale). For the $m$-th group of the $n$-th gas scale, this requirement leads to

$$\lambda_{nm} = k \quad \text{and} \quad k_{nm} f_n(T, \phi, k_{nm}) \, dk_{nm} = k_{nm}^*(k) \, dk$$ (27)

Equation (27) provides the relationship between $\lambda_{nm}$ and $k_{nm}$ that is required to solve Eq. (10). One convenient way of determining $\lambda_{nm}$ is using the relationship [5]

$$\int_0^{k_{nm}} k_{nm} f_n(T, \phi, k_{nm}) \, dk_{nm} = \int_0^{k_{nm}^*(k)} k_{nm}^*(k) \, dk$$ (28)

For the soot scale, we use the strategy that the overlap parameter $\lambda_s$ is determined by equating medium emission $I_{s1}$ and $I_{s1}^*$ as was done in the modified MSFSK formulation [9]. To equate the overall intensity for the soot scale, the wall emissions $I_{s1}$ and $I_{s1}^*$ must also be equal. The expression for $I_{s1}$ is rearranged, employing the approximation for $\lambda_s$, as

$$I_{s1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(T, \phi, \lambda_s)}{k_s(T, \phi, \lambda_s)} I_{bw} \exp(-\lambda_s L) f_1(T, \phi, k) \, dk$$ (29)

By comparison with the expression for $I_{s1}$ in Eq. (24), it is clear that if

$$\alpha_s(k_s) = \frac{f(T, \phi, \lambda_s)}{k_s(T, \phi, \lambda_s)} \quad \lambda_s = \lambda(k_s)$$ (30)

then $I_{s1}$ is equal to $I_{s1}^*$.

### 3 Evaluation of Overlap Parameter

For efficient calculations, the overlap parameter needs to be available from a database of narrow band multigroup (NBMG) $k$-distributions for individual gas species. The advantages of using NBMG $k$-distributions are: (1) groups within each narrow band are scalable, and hence can be combined to obtain coarser $k$-$g$ distributions; (2) the use of NBMG $k$-distributions allows for the inclusion of nongray absorbing particles in the participating medium [4]; (3) mixing of $k$-$g$ distributions is more accurate when performed at the narrow band level, as compared with the full-spectrum level; and (4) since the wavenumbers within a narrow band are grouped according to their temperature dependence, NBMG $k$-distributions can be used to construct full-spectrum multigroup $k$-$g$ distributions, which are known to be more accurate for temperature inhomogeneities in multiphase mixtures.

For the $m$-th group of the $n$-th gas scale, substituting Eq. (21), the right hand side (RHS) of Eq. (28) may be rewritten in terms of a narrow band-based $k_{nm}^*$

$$\text{RHS} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nb}} \frac{I_{bw}^*}{I_{bw}} \int_0^{k_{nm}^*(k)} \, dk$$

$$= \int_0^{k_{nm}^*(k)} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nb}} \frac{I_{bw}^*}{I_{bw}} \frac{1}{\Delta \eta} \int_{\Delta \eta} \kappa_{nm} \delta(k - \kappa) \, d\eta \, dk$$ (31)

where $k_{nm}^*$ is the narrow band counterpart of $k_{nm}$. $N_{nb}$ is the number of narrow bands comprising the entire spectrum, and the narrow band integrated Planck function $I_{bw}^*$ is defined as

$$I_{bw}^* = \int_{\Delta \eta} I_{bw} \, d\eta$$ (32)

As always, in the narrow band-based $k$-distribution approach, we have assumed that $I_{bw}$ is constant over $\Delta \eta$ and can be approximated by $I_{bw}/\Delta \eta$.

In order to evaluate the integrals involving $k_{nm}^*$ in Eq. (31) in terms of NBMG $k$-distributions, we consider the quantity $Q_{nm}$ as

$$Q_{nm} = \frac{1}{\Delta \eta} \int_{\Delta \eta} \kappa_{nm} \exp(-\kappa \eta) \, d\eta$$ (33)

for the $i$-th narrow band. Physically, $Q_{nm}$ is related to emission from the $m$-th group of the $n$-th scale for the given narrow band $i$, attenuated over path $L$ by the entire gas mixture. $Q_{nm}$ can be rewritten as

$$Q_{nm} = \frac{1}{\Delta \eta} \int_{\Delta \eta} \kappa_{nm} \int_0^\infty \exp(-k L) \delta(k - \kappa) \, dk \, d\eta$$

$$= \int_0^\infty k_{nm} \exp(-k L) \, dk = L(k_{nm})$$ (34)

i.e., $Q_{nm}$ is the Laplace transform of $k_{nm}^*$. 
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Previously, in the modified MSFSK development [9], it was shown that, (1) on a narrow band basis, the spectral behavior of different species is essentially statistically uncorrelated, and (2) the soot absorption coefficient is approximately constant across each narrow band [4,7,9]. Since the wavenumbers within a narrow band are placed into exclusive spectral groups, the assumption of statistical uncorrelatedness in spectral behavior between a group (within a narrow band) of one gas species and the narrow band of another gas species still holds. With this assumption, \( Q_{nm} \) can be written as (after applying the \( k \)-distribution method [9])

\[
Q_{nm} = \int_{\kappa_{nm}=0}^{\kappa_{nm}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \left( \int_{\ell n=0}^{\kappa_{nm}} k_{\ell n} \exp\left(-\sum_{l \neq \ell, n} k_{l, L} - k_{nm,L} \right) - \tilde{k}_{\ell,L} \right) dk_{\ell n} (35)
\]

where \( \tilde{k}_{\ell,L} \) is the narrow band average value of the soot absorption coefficient.

Equating Eqs. (34) and (35), we have

\[
\mathcal{L}(k_{nm}) = \int_{\kappa_{nm}=0}^{\kappa_{nm}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \left( \int_{\ell n=0}^{\kappa_{nm}} k_{\ell n} \exp\left(-\sum_{l \neq \ell, n} k_{l, L} - k_{nm,L} \right) - \tilde{k}_{\ell,L} \right) dk_{\ell n} \left(1 - \tilde{k}_{\ell,L} \right) d\tilde{k}_{\ell,L} d\tilde{k}_{nm,L} (36)
\]

Using the integral property of the Laplace transform and then taking the inverse, we obtain

\[
\int_{0}^{\kappa_{nm}} k_{nm,L}(k) dk = \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \left( \int_{\ell n=0}^{\kappa_{nm}} k_{\ell n} \exp\left(-\sum_{l \neq \ell, n} k_{l, L} - k_{nm,L} \right) - \tilde{k}_{\ell,L} \right) \left(1 - \tilde{k}_{\ell,L} \right) d\tilde{k}_{\ell,L} d\tilde{k}_{nm,L} (37)
\]

where \( H \) is the Heaviside step function.

The LHS of Eq. (28) is also readily expressed in terms of narrow band \( k \)-distributions for the \( m \)-th group of \( n \)-th gas scale as

\[
\text{LHS} = \int_{0}^{\kappa_{nm}} k_{nm,L}(k) dk = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \left( \int_{\ell n=0}^{\kappa_{nm}} k_{\ell n} \exp\left(-\sum_{l \neq \ell, n} k_{l, L} - k_{nm,L} \right) - \tilde{k}_{\ell,L} \right) \left(1 - \tilde{k}_{\ell,L} \right) d\tilde{k}_{\ell,L} d\tilde{k}_{nm,L} (38)
\]

Equating the LHS and RHS, we obtain a generic expression for the determination of the overlap parameter \( \lambda_{nm} \) of the \( m \)-th group of the \( n \)-th gas scale, based on NB \( k \)-distributions of individual gas species as

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \left( \int_{\ell n=0}^{\kappa_{nm}} k_{\ell n} \exp\left(-\sum_{l \neq \ell, n} k_{l, L} - k_{nm,L} \right) - \tilde{k}_{\ell,L} \right) \left(1 - \tilde{k}_{\ell,L} \right) d\tilde{k}_{\ell,L} d\tilde{k}_{nm,L} (39)
\]

The integrals in Eq. (39) can be evaluated efficiently, based on the NBMG database, as outlined by Wang and Modest [7]. The overlap parameter for soot, being treated as a single-group scale, can be obtained from the modified MSFSK formulation of Pal and Modest [9].

4 Evaluation of Modified Wall Stretching Factor

Incorporation of wall emission into the soot scale, Eq. (15), introduces the wall stretching factor \( a_{w} \). It was demonstrated by Pal and Modest [9] that MSFSK calculations using the modified \( a_{w} \) from Eq. (30) are more accurate, as compared with calculations using the direct \( a_{w} \) from Eq. (16), because only the modified \( a_{w} \) recovers the LBL results for homogeneous media with arbitrary boundary wall temperatures. For the calculation of the modified \( a_{w} \), in the present narrow band-based hybrid method, the same approach was considered as outlined in the modified MSFSK formulations [9].

5 NBMG Database Construction

Accurate and compact databases of NBMG \( k \)-distributions are constructed as part of this work. The spectral absorption coefficients for water vapor are calculated from HITRAN 2000, and for carbon dioxide from CDSD-1000. The resulting NBMG \( k \)-distributions of the combustion gases are stored for various values of total pressure, local gas temperature, and species mole fraction, as described in Ref. [13], but now for four groups.

The wavenumbers within each narrow band of the gas species in 0.01 cm\(^{-1}\) intervals are placed into four exclusive spectral groups according to the temperature dependence of the absorption coefficients. Details of the grouping of wavenumbers can be obtained from Ref. [8]. Once all spectral locations are grouped, the narrow band \( k \)-distributions are calculated for each group and each gas species. Details of the \( k \)-distribution construction can be obtained from Ref. [13]. After the calculation of the initial \( k \)-distributions, data compaction is performed using a Gaussian quadrature scheme with fixed \( g \)-values, as outlined by Wang and Modest [13].

To obtain the \( k \)-distribution for an arbitrary state, interpolation is needed between precalculated states stored in the database. For a single gas species, the \( k \)-distribution is specified by total pressure \( P \), local gas temperature \( T \), and mole fraction \( x \). Hence, three-dimensional interpolation in \( (P, T, x) \) is required. In order to achieve acceptable accuracy with small computational cost, a 1D spline interpolation is used for \( T \), and bilinear interpolation for \( P \). [13]

The newly constructed NBMG database is scalable, i.e., for faster computation, the groups can be combined to obtain coarser groups, both at the narrow band and full-spectrum level. The narrow band \( k \)-distributions of the combined group \( n \) from finer groups \( m \) can be calculated [6,8] as

\[
1 - g_{n}(k) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left(1 - g_{m}(k) \right) (40)
\]

where \( g_{n,i} \) and \( g_{m,i} \) are the cumulative \( k \)-distributions of the \( i \)-th narrow band for the same \( k \)-values of the combined groups and original groups, respectively [6].

6 Sample Calculations

6.1 1D Problem. Sample calculations were performed for a 1D medium, containing emitting-absorbing CO\(_2\)-H\(_2\)O-N\(_2\) gas mixtures, as well as soot, confined between cold black walls. The mixture consists of two different homogeneous layers (denoted as left and right layers/column) adjacent to each other at a total pressure of 1 bar. The left layer has a fixed width of 50 cm. The width of the right layer was varied in the calculations. The radiative heat flux leaving from the right layer (i.e., radiative flux at the right wall) was calculated using the LBL method, the single-scale FSK method, the modified MSFSK method, and the present narrow band-based MSMGF SK method (using two and four groups).
For all LBL calculations, absorption coefficients of CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O were obtained from the CDSD-1000 and the HITEMP spectroscopic databases, respectively, and for the \(k\)-distribution based calculations, the \(k\)-\(g\) data for CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O from the new narrow band multigroup databases. Soot absorption coefficients were evaluated, invoking the assumption of small particles (scattering from the agglomerated soot particles was ignored for all sample calculations) with the complex index of refraction given by Chang and Charalampopoulos [16].

Figure 1 shows the results for the case of a gas–soot mixture with mole fraction step changes in all three scales: CO\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O, and soot. The left layer contains 20\% CO\textsubscript{2}, 2\% H\textsubscript{2}O, and no soot, while the right layer contains 2\% CO\textsubscript{2}, 20\% H\textsubscript{2}O, and 0.1 ppm soot. Both layers are at a constant temperature of 1000 K. In this inhomogeneous problem, the error of the basic single-scale FSK method reaches more than 20\%. In comparison to that, if the gas–soot mixture is broken up into several scales, one for each species, the modified MSFSK method produces considerably more accurate solutions, with a maximum error below 4\%. The narrow band-based MSMGFSK calculations were performed using two or four groups for each gas scale, and soot was considered as a single-group scale. Both the two and four groups based MSMGFSK calculations result in slightly better accuracy (maximum error limited to less than 3\%) compared with the modified MSFSK method.

Figure 2 shows the results for the case of a gas–soot mixture with step changes in temperature. Both layers contain 20\% CO\textsubscript{2}, 20\% H\textsubscript{2}O, and 0.1 ppm soot. The left layer is at 1500 K, while the right layer is at 500 K. In this case, the maximum error of the basic single-scale FSK method reaches 9\%. The modified MSFSK method reduces the maximum error to below 5\%. Both the two and four groups based MSMGFSK calculations still yield better accuracy (maximum error limited to 2\% for both). It is observed that the accuracy of the two and four groups based calculations are close to each other, which apparently is due to the presence of compensating errors between grouping of absorption coefficients and mixing among different absorbing species.

Radiative transfer calculations were also performed for the case of a gas–soot mixture with mole fraction step changes in all the three scales (two gas species and soot) in addition to a step change in temperature, and results are shown in Fig. 3. The left hot layer contains 20\% CO\textsubscript{2}, 2\% H\textsubscript{2}O, and no soot; the right cold layer has 0.1 ppm of soot with the gas compositions reversed. It is observed here that the two and four group-based MSMGFSK method have a maximum error of only 5\% for very high optical thickness, whereas the single-scale FSK method incurs a maximum error close to 60\%. The modified MSFSK method incurs a maximum error of 40\%, demonstrating its inability to handle strong temperature inhomogeneities in multiphase mixtures. In all cases, it is seen that the two group-based calculations result in excellent accuracy, and only 2N RTEs need to be solved (with \(N\) as the number of species/scales).

6.2 2D Problem. Next we consider a two-dimensional axisymmetric ethylene-air jet flame numerically studied by Mehta [17]. This flame simulates the jet flame experimentally studied by Kent and Honnery [18]. The burner of this Kent and Honnery flame (KH87) consists of a cylindrical nozzle of diameter \(d_j = 3\) mm. The Reynolds number varies from 7500 to 15,000. A three-dimensional wedge-like (wedge angle of 10 deg) grid system was employed to simulate the axisymmetric flame by applying periodic boundary conditions on the sides. The dimensions in the \(x\)- and \(z\)-directions are 30\(d_j\) and 250\(d_j\), respectively. The details of modeling the KH87 flame can be found elsewhere [17]. The converged results of that study were used as a frozen data field for radiation calculations. CO\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O, CO, and soot are the major products of combustion, and hence, are considered in radiation calculations in addition to ethylene (fuel). The concentrations of the major species and the temperature data are shown in Fig. 4. The pressure is uniform (equal to 1 bar). The local radiative heat source term is calculated using the LBL, the basic single-scale FSK, the modified MSFSK, and the two and four group narrow band-based MSMGFSK approaches, employing the P-1 method as the RTE solver. Relative errors are determined by comparison with LBL as

\[
\text{error(\%)} = \frac{\nabla \cdot q_{\text{LBL}} - \nabla \cdot q_{\text{FSK/MSFSK/MSMGFSK}}}{\nabla \cdot q_{\text{LBL,max}}} \times 100 \quad (41)
\]

For 2D LBL calculations, the absorption coefficients of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} and CO were obtained from the HITRAN-2004 [19] and HITEMP [20] spectroscopic databases, respectively. Narrow band single-group databases of \(k\)-\(g\) distributions were compiled for gas species such as C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} and CO, as outlined by Wang and Modest [13], and were used for 2D calculations. The total number of RTEs solved in each method for the 2D problem is: 1.5 million for LBL, 10 for
single-scale FSK, $4 \times 10$ for modified MSFSK (CO$_2$ and H$_2$O as combined scale, each other species as one scale), and $7 \times 10$ and $11 \times 10$ for narrow band-based MSMGFSK (each species as one scale, CO$_2$ and H$_2$O scales having two and four groups each, respectively), where 10 is the number of quadrature points.

The local radiative heat source term calculated using the LBL method is shown in Fig. 5(a). Figure 5(b) shows that the single-scale FSK method generates large errors for gas-soot mixtures with varying ratios of concentrations (the maximum error in the present problem reaches as much as 35% near the inlet). In the multiscale approach, CO$_2$ and H$_2$O are combined into a single scale since they have approximately the same ratio of concentration throughout the combustion chamber, while C$_2$H$_4$, CO, and soot are treated as single-group individual scales. Mixing of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O is performed with their local concentrations using the narrow band-based $k$-distribution mixing rule [4]. The maximum error is now limited to 7% near the inlet region of high errors, as seen in Fig. 5(c). Figure 5(d) shows the errors incurred in the two group narrow band-based MSMGFSK calculations. In this approach, the C$_2$H$_4$, CO, and soot are treated as single-group scales, while CO$_2$ and H$_2$O are treated as two separate scales, each having two spectral groups. The maximum error for this case is limited to 4%. The results from the four group-based MSMGFSK methods are approximately the same as the two group case and, hence, are not shown here. This is a substantial improvement, and the accuracy of the new narrow band-based MSMGFSK approach

![Fig. 3 Nondimensional heat flux leaving an inhomogeneous slab at a total pressure of 1 bar with step changes in temperature and mole fraction: The hot left layer contains 20% CO$_2$, 2% H$_2$O, and no soot at 1500 K, and the cold right layer contains 2% CO$_2$, 20% H$_2$O, and 0.1 ppm soot at 500 K](image)

![Fig. 4 Temperature and mole fraction distributions in numerically simulated KH87 flame, (a) temperature distribution, (b) mole fraction distribution of H$_2$O and approximately CO$_2$ (wherever there is little CO), (c) mole fraction distribution of CO, (d) mole fraction distribution of C$_2$H$_4$, and (e) distribution of soot volume fraction](image)
for gas-soot mixtures is clearly demonstrated. CPU time for the LBL calculations is approximately 56 h on a 2.4 GHz AMD Opteron machine, while the single-scale FSK, the modified MSFSK, the two group-based MSMGFSK, and the four group-based MSMGFSK take only 7 s, 41 s, 78 s, and 110 s (i.e., typical times required for chemistry calculations in a combustion problem), respectively, for this calculation. This implies factors of $3 \times 10^3$, $5 \times 10^3$, $2.5 \times 10^3$, and $2 \times 10^3$ CPU time improvement, respectively, over LBL cost.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, a new narrow band-based multiscale multigroup full-spectrum $k$-distribution method has been developed for radiation calculations involving nongray gas-soot mixtures with gray wall emission. This spectral method is capable of producing close-to-LBL accuracy for radiation calculations in general combustion problems with multiphase mixtures, temperatures, and concentration inhomogeneities. Accurate and compact narrow band multigroup databases were constructed for the most important combustion gases: CO$_2$ and H$_2$O. Sample calculations were performed for both 1D media and for a 2D ethylene-air jet flame with gas-soot mixtures. The narrow band-based hybrid method is more accurate than the single-scale FSK method for all the cases, and more accurate than the modified MSFSK method for cases with temperature inhomogeneity. It is observed that the two group-based calculations produce similar accuracy as the four group-based calculations, both yielding close-to-LBL accuracy, but requiring less computational time. In realistic combustion problems, the narrow band-based multiscale multigroup method is able to provide very accurate results (an order of magnitude more accurate than the FSK, and with several orders of magnitude lesser computational cost than LBL).
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